Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



Crop Type Classification A Machine Learning Approach

William Manley

Machine Learning Summer Internship - AGSpace

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Setting the Scene

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline
1.1. Data Retrieval
1.2 Data Filtering
1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analy

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

• Identifying crop types from satellite imagery is hard from a human perspective - can a machine do better?





$\S1.$ Data Pipeline

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Band Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



- Data Retrieval
- Data Filtering

- Data Processing
- Data Exportation

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Data Retrieval



Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1 Data Retrieval

82. Timeseries

- Acknowledgements



- Unsure of the geographic dependency, we decided to focus exclusively on data from the UK.
- The raw, unfiltered data query retrieved a heavily skewed dataset, towards just a few crop types.
- A modest target of only 5000 instances per class can already only be achieved by a select few crop types.

Raw Data Class Frequencies - United Kingdom



Data Filtering

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



- Data must be within an *effective imagery period*. That is, for each crop type, we must define a lower bound for when the crop is first perceivable and an upper bound for it's expected date of harvest.
- Making sure that all field geometries are valid, contained in the raster, etc.
- There were many other important aspects to consider when filtering down our raw dataset to make the data fully effective.

Post-Filtering Analysis

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

```
1.3.3 Resampling the Data
```

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

• After filtering down to effective data, we are left with significantly less class counts.

Unfiltered Classes of Interest Frequencies



Filtered Classes of Interest Frequencies





Data Processing

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



- Individual fields need to be clipped from the raw satellite images.
- Images needs to be resampled to make it more manageable (RAM requirements, etc.).
- Individual Bands need to be combined to make useful features e.g. NDVI.
- The data needs to be standardized normalization for ML performance and padding/cropping to square images.

Extracting Individual Fields

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline
1.1. Data Retrieval
1.2 Data Filtering
1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data 1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

💋 AgSpace

• We first clip individual fields from the satellite images, using the geospatial raster and field polygon data.



Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data 1.3.4 Data Standardization .4 Data Exportation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectra Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

Spectral Band Information

- Our images contain several spectral bands: red, green, blue and Near Infrared (NIR).
- From these bands we can calculate useful features such as the NDVI, which gives us a measure of the amount of photosynthesis and hence biomass within an image.



A D > A P > A D > A D >

💋 AgSpace

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval 1.2 Data Filtering 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Anal 1.3 Data Processing 1.3.1 Extracting Individu

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data 1.3.4 Data Standardization 1.4 Data Exportation 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

Resampling the Data

• Our images need to be resampled to make the data size more managable. Data is resampled to a common desired spatial resolution.



Planet Data

60% Downsample





Sentinel2 Data

250% Upsample





Data Standardization

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

- We normalize our pixel values to [0, 1] to make them more suited to our ML algorithm.
- We also crop/pad images to a standardized dimension. Cropping by the fields 'centre of mass' achieved the best results.



(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)



Data Exportation

• When making the test:train split, there are some important things to consider. For example, splitting so that training data contains few repeats of fields in the test set, to avoid overfitting.



30% Train:Test Split

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectra Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



Data Augmentation

- To optimise training performance, we augmented some of our training set.
- Augmentation included random rigid body transformations and applying a small, spatially uniform, Gaussian noise.



Augmented Field

- Crop Type Classification
- Setting the Scene
- §1. Data Pipeline
- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation §2. Timeseries

- Analysis 2.1 The Evolution of a Sir
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts
- Acknowledgements



$\S2$. Timeseries Analysis

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts
- Acknowledgements



- It became apparent that the problem had a potentially strong time dependence.
- Crops appearance and features are dynamic and are constantly evolving throughout the growth cycle.
- A fully functional model will likely need be able to couple both the spatial and temporal aspects of the problem.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Evolution of a Sample Field

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

- §1. Data Pipeline
- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Band Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



• An individual field is dynamic throughout it's growth period.

Barley NDVI Evolution

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Band Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements

Crop Type Spectral Footprints

• There are some distinct correlations within a single crop type timeseries.



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



Comparing Crop Types

• When comparing crop types it seems that crops tend to follow a similar trend, with different levels of noisyness.



Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

1.1. Data Retrieval

1.2 Data Filtering

1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis

1.3 Data Processing

1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields

1.3.2 Spectral Band Information

1.3.3 Resampling the Data

1.3.4 Data Standardization

1.4 Data Exportation

1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field

2.2 Crop Type Spectra Footprints

2.3 Comparing Crop Types

2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



Some Final Thoughts

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

§1. Data Pipeline

- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Ban Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



- Quantifying these results into features of a machine learning model is a difficult task.
- It is not immediately obvious how to couple the temporal aspect with the spatial nature of the problem.
- It is likely that seasonality effects on an annual basis could change the qualitative behaviour of the timeseries, although this is not something I explored.

Acknowledgements

Crop Type Classification

Setting the Scene

- §1. Data Pipeline
- 1.1. Data Retrieval
- 1.2 Data Filtering
- 1.2.1 Post-Filtering Analysis
- 1.3 Data Processing
- 1.3.1 Extracting Individual Fields
- 1.3.2 Spectral Band Information
- 1.3.3 Resampling the Data
- 1.3.4 Data Standardization
- 1.4 Data Exportation
- 1.5 Data Augmentation

§2. Timeseries Analysis

- 2.1 The Evolution of a Single Field
- 2.2 Crop Type Spectral Footprints
- 2.3 Comparing Crop Types
- 2.4 Some Final Thoughts

Acknowledgements



- A massive thank you to everyone at AGSpace for providing me with this opportunity and for their continual support and guidance.
- In particular, thank you to Ivan Petrov for his supervision of our project and for providing a stimulating environment to learn in.
- Thank you to my direct collaborators Chang Liu and Dean Lundie for being a pleasure to work with and for all of their contributions to the project.